



By: **Harold James**

Why is the US so concerned with a falling yen?



In a Reuters photo from late July, US Treasury Secretary Scott Bessent can be seen holding a to-do list with just one item: purchase \$5–10 billion worth of yen.

As he **explained** soon thereafter, invoking the famous phrase that then-European Central Bank President Mario Draghi used to save the euro in 2012, the Trump administration will do “whatever it takes” to prop up the Japanese currency.

Bessent’s public rationale for this surprise intervention was that the yen is undervalued, and that the US does not want to see a round of currency wars in which countries try to prop up their exports by undervaluing their currencies.

Such conflicts do carry a powerful historical echo, given their role in destroying the world economy and threatening international peace in the 1930s.

The victorious Allied powers organized the 1944 Bretton Woods Conference precisely to prevent the kind of protectionism and currency wars that had produced World War II.

But currency wars did return in the 1980s, when a surging dollar led Americans to believe that the yen (along with Germany’s Deutsche Mark) was undervalued.

These suspicions then generated much academic research into whether currency interventions are effective.

The overwhelming consensus was that they are not. A single intervention might move the markets for a short time, but in the longer run, economic fundamentals will reassert themselves and market pressures will return. The only enduring solution is to alter existing policy regimes.

Post-intervention surge

Both in the 1980s and now, a Japanese effort to address underlying fundamentals would

involve higher interest rates and perhaps also a fiscal contraction, with the US simultaneously lowering interest rates and issuing less debt (implying its own fiscal contraction).

But neither side is likely to undertake such measures. Japanese Prime Minister Sanae Takaichi has made it clear that she thinks interest rates are high enough; and barring a dramatic economic downturn, lower US rates would only fuel stock-market exuberance and inflation concerns.

Exactly as economic theory would suggest, the yen has already started to fall again since its immediate post-intervention surge.

More and more interventions will be needed to achieve Bessent’s desired exchange-rate effect, but markets will treat them with increasing skepticism

More and more interventions will be needed to achieve Bessent’s desired exchange-rate effect, but markets will treat them with increasing skepticism.

Since everyone knows how interventions play out, they are typically reserved for dramatic market situations.

Not since the Fukushima disaster in 2011 has the US sold yen, and not since the height of the 1997–98 Asian financial crisis has it bought yen.

Trump’s measure of friendship

In any case, fears of currency and trade wars probably do not reflect the Trump administration’s real motive, considering that it has been openly following the 1930s playbook on tariffs.

Nor should we believe **Trump’s own explanation**: that propping up the yen is a “signal of friendship” to a country that has

“been very good to us, with the exception, of course, of Pearl Harbor.”

Usually, the president’s measure of “friendship” is the trade balance—on the assumption that countries with a surplus must be taking advantage of the US. Yet **US goods exports** to Japan in 2025 totaled roughly \$82.1 billion, while imports from Japan reached \$149.8 billion.

For his part, Bessent has rather oddly mentioned other **undervalued currencies** alongside the yen, including the South Korean won and the Chinese renminbi.

But if he cares about these currencies, too, he should support a big international currency reordering—a reworking of Bretton Woods.

Bessent and Trump’s only genuine motive is to help the United States

In reality, Bessent and Trump’s only genuine motive is to help the United States.

In its August intervention, the US Treasury sold euros rather than dollars because it wanted to make clear that it would not approve of Japan (or anyone else) offloading US Treasuries.

The puzzle of why the US is so concerned with a falling yen has historical parallels not to the 1930s or the 1980s, but to the 1960s.

At the time, the Bretton Woods fixed-exchange-rate regime, designed to prevent currency wars that could become real wars, was under increasing strain.

Claims on the dollar were building up as a consequence of US military spending and investment abroad, but the country that was most vulnerable was the United Kingdom.

Fearing a domino effect in which a financial crisis in the UK could trigger an attack on the dollar, US officials came to see the British pound as their “outer perimeter defense.”

Bessent’s bond excursion will have proven futile

The yen is playing the same role today. Japan’s government **debt-to-GDP ratio** is 248%, which looks like the limit to how much government spending is possible.

The US figure is **122%**, and bond yields have been rising throughout the summer, reflecting investors’ reluctance to purchase more government debt, the lure of AI investment, and America’s deteriorating fiscal situation (which was not helped by having to repay large sums collected for tariffs that have since been struck down).

Higher borrowing costs make life harder for the government (**US debt service** in 2025 consumed more of the budget than defense expenditure).

They also raise mortgage, auto-loan, and credit-card rates, making voters more likely to turn against incumbents.



Scott Bessent wants to make debt look safe and cheap once more, but he is unlikely to succeed

Seeing the writing on the wall, Bessent has already taken an even bigger gamble, intervening in bond markets to drive down the most politically sensitive long-term rates.

But doing that requires more short-term issuance, which will push up the money supply and inflation.

When markets realize how desperate the current and subsequent governments are, long-

term rates will rise again, and Bessent's bond excursion will have proven futile.

It may already be happening: Following an initial boost to bond prices, a **reversal** appears to be underway.

Bessent wants to make debt look safe and cheap once more, but he is unlikely to succeed.

He pursued a similar ploy late last year to weaken the **Iranian currency**, explaining that this would produce regime change without boots on the ground.

Will people in countries that see the US as a menace consider a similar strategy and launch an attack on the yen and the dollar? One can think of worse ways to bring about regime change in Washington.

Harold James is Professor of History and International Affairs at Princeton University.