



By: *Shashi Tharoor*

# Elections in India deepened the Hindu-Muslim political divide



Indian politics has long been defined by a stubborn regionalism. In states like Assam, Kerala, Punjab, Tamil Nadu, and West Bengal, distinct linguistic identities, social-reform movements, and deep-seated secular or pluralist traditions sustained for decades what analysts called a “fortress of federalism,” insulated from the ideological reach of the “Delhi Sultanate” (the central government).

But the results of five state elections this month suggest that the fortress has been breached.

Perhaps the most dramatic shift occurred in West Bengal. For 15 years, Mamata Banerjee and her Trinamool Congress were viewed as the definitive bulwark against the Hindu-nationalist (Hindutva) centralization of the Bharatiya Janata Party.

The TMC’s brand of “Subaltern Secularism,” rooted in Bengali cultural pride, successfully repelled the BJP as recently as the last elections, in 2021.

During the April–May 2026 election campaign, however, the BJP managed to bridge the gap between Hindutva and Bengali identity.

By focusing on the RG Kar case—the brutal rape and murder of a young doctor, which sparked statewide protests over women’s safety—and highlighting systemic corruption and high-handedness in the TMC, the BJP upended the TMC’s claim to be the voice of the Bengali people.

The **results** speak for themselves: the BJP won a staggering 207 of 294 seats in the West Bengal Legislative Assembly.

To be sure, questions linger about the Election Commission’s “special intensive revision” of the state’s electoral rolls.

The review was supposed to remove duplicate, migrated, or deceased voters, but 3.4 million of the nine million who were struck off the rolls appealed the decision—and 2.7 million appeals were still pending when the election took place, meaning that those voters were barred

from participating.

Since the BJP won by just over three million votes, the opposition has cried foul.

But analysts point out that the number of deleted votes exceeded the BJP’s margin of victory in only 46 constituencies, suggesting that the party would have carried the state anyway.

## A movie star who rewrote the script

The implications of the BJP’s victory extend beyond West Bengal. By defeating the TMC, the BJP has removed a vocal opponent of its national agenda, especially initiatives seemingly designed to put Muslims on the defensive, such as the Uniform Civil Code.

More fundamentally, it has proven that even the most formidable regional identity can be politically subdued if it is tethered to an unpopular incumbent.

In Tamil Nadu, it was a movie star who rewrote the script. For over 50 years, the state has been locked in a bipolar struggle between two Dravidian parties: the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam and All India Anna Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam.

### Gen Z is looking for disruptors capable of bypassing party hierarchies

This year, the former actor Chandrasekaran Joseph Vijay and his fledgling party, Tamilaga Vettri Kazhagam (TVK), shattered that arrangement.

Instead of running on the traditional Dravidian platform of Tamil ethnic and linguistic chauvinism, the charismatic Vijay leveraged popular disillusionment with the veteran political class, together with his celebrity, to win over young people.

Gen Z, Vijay recognized, is looking for disruptors capable of bypassing party hierarchies.

Organized in hundreds of fan clubs throughout the state and mobilized by campaign events that felt more like movie premieres than political rallies, young voters embraced the promise of a “post-Draavidian” future that emphasizes efficiency, digital transparency, and global competitiveness over old-school ideological purity.

With **108 of 234 legislative assembly seats**, the TVK is now Tamil Nadu’s largest party.

## The Communist Party is not in power anywhere in India

Kerala, the other southern state to hold elections, has historically been the ultimate sanctuary of the Indian Left. In 1957, it became the first state in the world to elect a communist government democratically.

Beginning in 1977, power alternated in every election between the Left Democratic Front (LDF), led by the Communist Party of India (Marxist) (CPM), and the United Democratic Front (UDF), led by the Indian National Congress.

In 2021, the LDF broke that pattern by winning a second consecutive term. But this year, the party’s “Kerala Model” finally hit a wall.

With the LDF plagued by a massive ₹4.5 lakh crore (\$47 billion) public debt and an aging leadership that failed to connect with a mobile, globalized workforce, the UDF triumphed overwhelmingly this time around, securing 102 of 140 legislative-assembly seats and ending the LDF’s decade-long rule.

**The Communist Party is not in power anywhere in India for the first time in nearly a half-century**

The results gave a boost to Congress, proving

that it can still win decisive mandates when it focuses on local governance. For the LDF—and especially the CPM—they amount to an existential crisis.

Following the defeat of Chief Minister Pinarayi Vijayan, the Communist Party is not in power anywhere in India for the first time in nearly a half-century.

Though it is too early to write off the CPM, without a state to govern, it risks being reduced to a pressure group or a historic relic, rather than a viable political force.

## Deepening the Hindu-Muslim political divide

To be sure, not every Indian state election brought change this month. In Assam, the status quo was defended aggressively.

Linking economic development with a hardline stance on demographics, Chief Minister Himanta Biswa Sarma of the BJP-led National Democratic Alliance targeted illegal immigrants from Bangladesh (“infiltrators”) and positioned himself as the protector of indigenous Hindus and Assamese.

The strategy worked: Sarma led the BJP to its **strongest-ever performance** in Assam, winning 82 of the legislative assembly’s 126 seats.



*As the old regional bulwarks crumble, we will either see the continued consolidation of BJP dominance or the reshaping and renewal of the opposition*

The Assam result proves that ethnic anxiety

and religious identity remain a potent electoral cocktail, capable of breathing new life into a ten-year incumbency.

With Sarma having emerged as the BJP's most powerful regional satrap, the party appears likely to replicate his identity-first governance in other border states, especially where anxious populations might be receptive to the BJP's high-pitched Islamophobia.

Underpinning these results is a sharpening of India's religious and social fault lines. The BJP's focus in recent years on religious identity, together with its "purification" of voter rolls, has created a more binary and potentially volatile political landscape, where election results increasingly reflect ethno-religious identity.

The May elections arguably deepened the Hindu-Muslim political divide. Whereas Hindu voters were often attracted by the BJP's warnings of demographic and cultural threats, Muslim voters increasingly abandoned smaller regional or faith-based parties to consolidate behind Congress and other major national opposition forces.

In Assam, 18 of the 19 victorious **Congress candidates are Muslim**.

As the old regional bulwarks crumble, we will either see the continued consolidation of BJP dominance or the reshaping and renewal of the opposition.

The next set of state assembly elections early next year—in Goa, Manipur, Punjab, Uttarakhand, and Uttar Pradesh—will indicate which scenario is more likely.

Shashi Tharoor, a former UN under-secretary-general and former Indian Minister of State for External Affairs and Minister of State for Human Resource Development, is an MP for the Indian National Congress and Chairman of the Parliamentary Standing Committee on External Affairs.