



By: Diane Coyle

# The dangers of unreliable economic data



With GDP and employment figures dominating political debates, it is easy to forget that they are hardly timeless truths. In fact, how we measure progress has shifted dramatically over time.

The Physiocrats – eighteenth-century French economists who saw agriculture as the source of all wealth – regarded farms' output as the most important economic indicator.

The Soviet Union, for its part, focused exclusively on goods production and ignored services altogether.

What has remained constant, however, is that statistics – true to their name – have always been tools of the state.

The Domesday Book of 1086, commissioned by William the Conqueror, served as an early economic survey cataloging the land, property, and resources of his newly acquired English realm.

Centuries later, William Petty's 1690 **book** Political Arithmetick sought to demonstrate that Britain's tax base was strong enough to sustain its war against France.

The modern concept of GDP was developed in the 1930s and became firmly established during World War II, as it served a national purpose.

While Germany was working on its own methods for gauging economic capacity, the United States and the United Kingdom gained a decisive strategic edge by being the first to define total output and compile reliable statistics.

This enabled the Allies to maximize production and manage the sacrifices required of their citizens more effectively.

## The dangers of unreliable economic data

Greece's 2012 debt crisis underscores the

dangers of unreliable economic data.

For years, the country relied on inflated GDP figures and understated debt levels to borrow cheaply on international markets.

Eurostat, the European Union's statistical arm, and others **cautioned** that Greece's statistics were misleading, but their warnings were largely unheeded – not least because banks were eager to profit from loan commissions.

The outcome was inevitable: an emergency International Monetary Fund bailout, severe austerity measures, a deep recession, and political upheaval. A decade later, Greece's GDP – now measured accurately – was barely **higher** than it was in 2012.

**International investors should treat any attempt to undermine the integrity of official statistics as a red flag**

One lesson from this episode – and from others, such as Argentina's **manipulation** of inflation data in the mid-2000s – is that international investors should treat any attempt to undermine the integrity of official statistics as a red flag.

History shows that while governments reap short-term political benefits by manipulating economic figures, the long-term costs can be enormous.

## Trump's partisan campaign against nonpartisan statistics

That is why economists have been alarmed by US President Donald Trump's **firing** of Erika McEntarfer, commissioner of the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

Trump's decision to **replace** her with E.J. Antoni, an inexperienced loyalist, has only fueled these concerns.

The threat that such moves pose to investor

confidence is especially acute in the US, which **relies** heavily on foreign capital and depends on the reliability of its national statistics as a key selling point.

But an equally grave, if subtler, threat is that undermining the credibility of economic data weakens government effectiveness.



*Trump's partisan campaign against nonpartisan statistics will limit his administration's ability to craft effective policies*

Even an administration focused on **shrinking** the government and cutting taxes must understand the country's productive capacity and tax base, especially amid rising geopolitical tensions and growing security demands.

Trump's partisan campaign against nonpartisan statistics, marked by dramatic cuts to data-collection programs, will therefore limit his administration's ability to craft effective policies and demonstrate their success.

While claims of "evidence-based policy" are sometimes overstated and often clash with political priorities, knowing whether government actions are working remains invaluable.

## Official statistics across the OECD are in poor shape

Moreover, when governments start believing their own distorted numbers, the consequences can be disastrous.

In 1987, a CIA **study** concluded that, contrary to what many Western observers believed, the Soviet Union's reported growth figures were generally accurate.

Yet after the USSR's sudden collapse, it became clear that those numbers had been severely inflated. Corrupted by political considerations, Soviet statistics overlooked critical indicators, such as the scarcity and poor quality of consumer goods, masking the communist regime's deep vulnerabilities.

While we should not be naive about the political pressures surrounding sensitive figures like inflation and employment, independent and competent statistical agencies keep governments grounded in reality and enable businesses and investors to make informed decisions.

**Faced with shrinking budgets, agencies are struggling to keep up with rapid technological and structural shifts**

Unfortunately, official statistics across the OECD are in poor shape. Faced with shrinking budgets, agencies are struggling to keep up with rapid technological and structural shifts.

Given that no government is going to shower them with more resources, statisticians are left with no choice but to modernize their data collection and processing procedures.

In that sense, there is a silver lining to Trump's assault on America's statistics infrastructure: it may prompt officials to rethink how they measure economic performance and to embrace new technologies that make it easier to sift through massive amounts of information. Such a shift could be disruptive, but it is long overdue.

Diane Coyle is a Professor of Public Policy at the University of Cambridge.